

Date: October 17, 2018

ITC Attendees

- MSHN - Forest Goodrich
- Bay – Greg Wedge
- CEI – Joanne Holland
- Central – Jane Cole
- Gratiot – Alec Keck
- Huron - Shannon Wichert
- Lifeways - Alexis Shapiro
- Montcalm - Bill Mason
- Newaygo - Jay Hollinger
- Saginaw - Steve DeLong
- Shiawassee - Doug Meylan
- The Right Door - Lori Richardson
- Tuscola - Tammy Smith

Guests

- MSHN – Kim Zimmerman
- MSHN – Sandy Gettel
- MSHN - Joe Wager
- MSHN – Shyam Marar
- MSHN – Linda Proper
- Central – Kevin Faught
- Lifeways – Holly Paige
- Saginaw – Dave Dunham

KEY DISCUSSION TOPICS

- Approval of snapshot from September 2018
- Performance Indicator Workgroup presentation and feedback
- File submission reports
- BH-TEDS submissions/status report
- EVV memo and update
- Information Technology Site Review draft
- REMI QI, BH-TEDS, Encounter reporting
- Encounter timeliness report
- Customer services template
- Hospital type and ID on encounters
- LARA license and rendering provider NPI requirement

✓ KEY DECISIONS

- September 19 minutes approved. Any changes, please send to jennifer.mccoy@midstatehealthnetwork.org
- Kim Zimmerman introduced the materials for ITC members regarding a statewide workgroup for reviewing MMBPIS concern from MDHHS. Sandy Gettel shared some of the workgroups thoughts for possible changes to indicators 2 and 3. There was much discussion from ITC members. Joanne shared concerns with using BH-TEDS data to calculate some indicators and suggested there is a lot of work to be done by MDHHS to clarify BH-TEDS use. Sandy mentioned that they were taking a serious look at how to eliminate exceptions. She distributed a document for ITC members to review and provide feedback within two weeks so that she could make sure it was included in the workgroup discussion and decision-making process. She will present any changes to ITC in future meetings as necessary.
- Shyam posted the volume and timeliness submission reports in BOX for ITC members to review.
- Forest reported that PCE Systems put a new report, BH-TEDS submission/status report into the reports and downloads menu. This is so that ITC members can go in and download specific BH-TEDS recorded submitted and accepted by MDHHS for reconciliation with their EMRs.

- Forest posted an EVV update to BOX and referred ITC members to it for current status. Joanne and Alexis provided a verbal update on EVV from a meeting that they attended and was sponsored by MDHHS. MDHHS continues to suggest that it will offer an EVV solution to anyone that needs it. Organizations can provide their own solution, but it must be approved by MDHHS for use.
- Forest posted the Delegated Managed Care Site Review tool in draft for 2019 and referred ITC members to section 14: Information Technology and requested that members consider it as the proposed version. ITC members asked some questions regarding any policy change requirements, etc. Forest responded that because there are no major change requirements at the federal or state level for policies and procedures, then the emphasis would be regarding any policy changes by a CMHSP that could adversely affect a current rule. This is required as a part of MSHN oversight and then HSAG review. ITC members agreed that section 14 is good to use. BH-TEDS and encounter review tools will be presented at next month's meeting.
- Forest called for any outstanding issues related to file submissions for BH-TEDS, QI and Encounter files. There were no reported issues. Shyam confirmed that ITC members can submit BH-TEDS records and that MSHN will hold any FY19 records in REMI until MDHHS notifies us that they are ready to receive them. MDHHS is asking us to hold them until they get their system setup with the FY19 validations. ITC members are able to submit encounters for FY18 and FY19 as usual and on time. Joanne asked if there was a cutoff date in December for FY18 file submissions as it is taking MDHHS about 14 days to process files and the year-end submission deadline to be in the MDHHS warehouse is December 31, 2018. ITC members were asked to go back and evaluate how many transactions are outstanding and to be prepared to discuss a reasonable cutoff date. Forest did suggest that there is an impact to processing the monthly files for FY19 because the MDHHS return files must be received to submit new files.
- Joanne requested that the Encounter timeliness report be on the agenda for discussion. She has been reviewing the CEI report results and has some findings to share with ITC members. The report is good, but she recommends the following: a) only run the report on fiscal year timeframes (overlapping years makes the report seem wrong) b) break out replacement transactions and make a column to summarize those on their own c) should the report measure only what is accepted in REMI or MDHHS or both? Should do both. ITC members discussed these concerns and agreed that we should request a revision to accommodate them.
- Doug reported that a meeting for PCE Systems EMR customers will be held this month to finalize the Customers Services template process and ready it for system use. CEI reported that the template changes are built into its Streamline system.
- Bill asked about the requirement for hospital Medicaid ID on encounters now that MDHHS is requiring the hospital NPI. Forest referred ITC members to a financial reporting requirements document provided by MDHHS and posted in BOX that indicates the hospital Medicaid ID is NO longer required. Forest did point out that if ITC members change the validation rules in their EMRs to NOT drop the hospital type requirement. This is still needed by MDHHS.
- Forest reported to ITC members that he talked with Leslie Thomas and Finance Council is extending the IO error messages until January 2019. This provides more time for ITC members to determine the impact on the number of transactions that are still affected by the requirement of LARA licenses and rendering provider NPIs on professional services. Joanne shared some information about the potential impact with LARA and suggested it

	<p>does affect transactions but may only be a few facilities and easy to correct. More work is needed with rendering provider IDs and the evaluation process.</p>
<p>✓ ACTION/INPUT REQUIRED</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ITC members will provide feedback to Sandy for statewide PI workgroup. • Sandy will provide any recommendations for changes to ITC. • ITC members will bring ideas of what BH-TEDS items should be evaluated as a part of the site review process. • CEI will bring a draft version of the site review tool for evaluating encounters and BH-TEDS records. • ITC members will bring back ideas for December cutoff processing for FY18 and FY19 to meet the 12/31/2018 requirements. • ITC members will continue analysis on impact of LARA licensing and Rendering Provider NPI requirements.
<p>✓ KEY DATA POINTS/DATES</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data Analytics Workgroup – November 14, 2018 1-3pm MSHN Lansing • ITC Meeting: November 21, 2018 1pm – 3pm CMHCM Mt. Pleasant • CIO Forum: December 5, 2018 1pm – 4pm Grand Rapids